

Los Angeles, CA 90013

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/013,096	12/06/2001	Tetsuo Nishimoto	393032029100	393032029100 9570	
7	590 12/21/2004		EXAMINER		
David L. Fehr	rman		ABDELWA	HED, ALI F	
Morrison & Fo 35th Floor	erster LLP		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
555 W. 5th Str	eet		3722		

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/013,096	NISHIMOTO, TETS	UO
, avice, y , ieuen	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ali Abdelwahed	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic I (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The apport originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 July 2004</u>. Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 			in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	eause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,9 and 11-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7 and 10.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		<u> </u>	· ()
10. Other:	SUPER	A. L. WELLINGTON IVISORY PATENT EXA HNOLOGY CENTER 3	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks with respect to the claims under final rejection have been considered but are not persuasive. The claims do not specifically recite the memory chip being located within the doll, and thus the computer 15 that has programs stored in memory as taught by the primary reference Kikinis satisfies this limitation. Kikinis also discloses a program being selected from the memory based upon the type of external stimulus detected by the sensors, which is also known as an empirical value, in column 3, lines 32-39. Secondary reference Gabai et al. does teach the toy having a memory that can store a program from an outside source to perform a predetermined operation, in column 8, lines 54-56. The secondary reference Gabai et al. is not required to teach selecting a program from the toy's memory in response to stimulus detected by sensor, since primary reference Kikinis already teaches this limitation in column 3, lines 32-39. Finally, secondary reference Hampton et al. was merely used to teach the concept of having the memory chip and processor being located within the toy doll.

Additionally, it should be noted to Applicant that the statutory period for reply has not changed due to the re-submission of this corrected Advisory Action, and that the statutory period for reply expires no later than 6 months from the mailing date of the Final Rejection.